

Other worlds predicted and interpreted by theory

One of the most surprising observations of exoplanets is the existence of 'hot Jupiters' very close to the host stars (3 within the range of tidal interaction with the star).

It is very difficult to form planets close to the stars in a standard theory of planet formation using minimum mass solar nebula, because

- it's too hot there for grain condensation (in extreme closeness), or
- there's too little solid material in the vicinity to build protoplanet's core of $10 M_E$ (applies to $r \sim 1$ AU as well)...
- esp. to build it quickly enough (< 3 Myr)
- there's too little gas to build a massive envelope

The main theoretical idea used to resolve these problems is **protoplanet migration in the gaseous disk**.

The idea is not new, theorists *predicted* planet migration in the 1980s:

Goldreich & Tremaine (1980), Ward (1986), Lin & Papaloizou (1986)

There are 2 types of migration, depending on whether or not the protoplanet (or its solid core) opens a disk gap.

- Type I: the planet is not massive enough to form a "gap" in the protoplanetary disk.
- Type II: the planet is massive enough to form a "gap" in the protoplanetary disk.

Survival strategies for planets:

Type I

1. Protoplanets never grow sufficiently to migrate much faster than the disk (--> terrestrial planets build afterwards?)
2. Planets grow quickly in a runaway process (a few hundred to a few thousand years) without (somehow) colliding into the parent star.

Type II

1. The disk touches the star but the tidal star-planet interaction keeps the planet at bay
2. There is a magnetically (?) produced inner disk clearing in which the planet finds a safe haven as a 'hot Jupiter'
3. The planet overflows its Roche lobe and recedes from the star

Taken from http://www.astro.su.se/~pawel/blois/talk_3.1.html.

